# Bayesian Methods & Multiclass

Mengye Ren

#### (Slides credit to David Rosenberg, He He, et al.)

NYU

Oct 29, 2024

### Slides



- Project proposal due Oct 31 noon.
- Schedule your project consultation soon (they are on the week after the proposal).
- Use the provided template! (if your final report fails to use template then there will be marks off)
- Homework 3 will be released soon and due Nov 12 11:59AM.

# Recap

- Bayesian modeling adds a prior on the parameters.
- Models the distribution of parameters
- Bayes Rule:

$$p(y \mid x) = \frac{p(x \mid y)p(y)}{p(x)}$$

$$\boldsymbol{p}(\boldsymbol{\theta} \mid \boldsymbol{\mathcal{D}}) = \frac{\boldsymbol{p}(\boldsymbol{\mathcal{D}} \mid \boldsymbol{\theta})\boldsymbol{p}(\boldsymbol{\theta})}{\boldsymbol{p}(\boldsymbol{\mathcal{D}})}.$$



• Conjugate prior: Having the same form of distribution as the posterior.

CSCI-GA 2565

- We have the posterior distribution  $\theta \mid \mathcal{D}$ .
- What if someone asks us to choose a single  $\hat{\theta}$  (i.e. a point estimate of  $\theta$ )?
- Common options:
  - posterior mean  $\hat{\theta} = \mathbb{E}\left[\theta \mid \mathcal{D}\right]$
  - maximum a posteriori (MAP) estimate  $\hat{\theta} = \arg \max_{\theta} p(\theta \mid D)$ 
    - Note: this is the mode of the posterior distribution

# What else can we do with a posterior?

- Look at it: display uncertainty estimates to our client
- Extract a credible set for  $\theta$  (a Bayesian confidence interval).
  - e.g. Interval [a, b] is a 95% credible set if

 $\mathbb{P}\left(\boldsymbol{\theta} \in [\boldsymbol{a}, \boldsymbol{b}] \mid \mathcal{D}\right) \geqslant 0.95$ 

- Select a point estimate using **Bayesian decision theory**:
  - Choose a loss function.
  - Find action minimizing expected risk w.r.t. posterior

# Bayesian Decision Theory

# Bayesian Decision Theory

- Ingredients:
  - Parameter space  $\Theta$ .
  - **Prior**: Distribution  $p(\theta)$  on  $\Theta$ .
  - Action space A.
  - Loss function:  $\ell : \mathcal{A} \times \Theta \to \mathsf{R}.$
- The **posterior risk** of an action  $a \in A$  is

$$r(a) := \mathbb{E}[\ell(\theta, a) \mid \mathcal{D}]$$
$$= \int \ell(\theta, a) p(\theta \mid \mathcal{D}) d\theta.$$

- It's the expected loss under the posterior.
- A Bayes action  $a^*$  is an action that minimizes posterior risk:

$$r(a^*) = \min_{a \in \mathcal{A}} r(a)$$

# Bayesian Point Estimation

- General Setup:
  - Data  $\mathcal{D}$  generated by  $p(y \mid \theta)$ , for unknown  $\theta \in \Theta$ .
  - We want to produce a **point estimate** for  $\theta.$
- Choose:
  - **Prior**  $p(\theta)$  on  $\Theta = R$ .
  - Loss  $\ell(\hat{\theta}, \theta)$
- Find action  $\hat{\theta} \in \Theta$  that minimizes the  $% \hat{\theta} \in \Theta$  posterior risk:

$$r(\hat{\theta}) = \mathbb{E}\left[\ell(\hat{\theta}, \theta) \mid \mathcal{D}\right]$$
$$= \int \ell(\hat{\theta}, \theta) p(\theta \mid \mathcal{D}) d\theta$$

## Important Cases

- Squared Loss :  $\ell(\hat{\theta}, \theta) = \left(\theta \hat{\theta}\right)^2 \Rightarrow \text{posterior mean}$
- Zero-one Loss:  $\ell(\theta, \hat{\theta}) = \mathbb{1}[\theta \neq \hat{\theta}] \quad \Rightarrow \text{ posterior mode}$
- Absolute Loss :  $\ell(\hat{\theta}, \theta) = \left| \theta \hat{\theta} \right| \Rightarrow$  posterior median
- Optimal decision depends on the loss function and the posterior distribution.
- Example: I have a card drawing from a deck of 2,3,3,4,4,5,5,5, and you guess the value of my card.
- mean: 3.875; mode: 5; median: 4

#### Bayesian Point Estimation: Square Loss

 $\bullet$  Find action  $\hat{\theta}\in\Theta$  that minimizes posterior risk

$$r(\hat{\theta}) = \int \left(\theta - \hat{\theta}\right)^2 p(\theta \mid D) d\theta.$$

• Differentiate:

$$\frac{dr(\hat{\theta})}{d\hat{\theta}} = -\int 2\left(\theta - \hat{\theta}\right) p(\theta \mid \mathcal{D}) d\theta$$
$$= -2\int \theta p(\theta \mid \mathcal{D}) d\theta + 2\hat{\theta} \underbrace{\int p(\theta \mid \mathcal{D}) d\theta}_{=1}$$
$$= -2\int \theta p(\theta \mid \mathcal{D}) d\theta + 2\hat{\theta}$$

#### Bayesian Point Estimation: Square Loss

• Derivative of posterior risk is

$$\frac{dr(\hat{\theta})}{d\hat{\theta}} = -2\int \theta p(\theta \mid \mathcal{D}) \, d\theta + 2\hat{\theta}.$$

• First order condition  $\frac{dr(\hat{\theta})}{d\hat{\theta}} = 0$  gives

$$\hat{\theta} = \int \theta p(\theta \mid \mathcal{D}) d\theta$$
$$= \mathbb{E} [\theta \mid \mathcal{D}]$$

• The Bayes action for square loss is the posterior mean.

### Interim summary



- The prior represents belief about  $\theta$  before observing data  $\mathcal{D}.$
- The posterior represents rationally updated beliefs after seeing  $\mathcal{D}.$
- All inferences and action-taking are based on the posterior distribution.
- In the Bayesian approach,
  - No issue of justifying an estimator.
  - Only choices are
    - family of distributions, indexed by  $\Theta,$  and
    - prior distribution on  $\Theta$
  - For decision making, we need a loss function.

# Recap: Conditional Probability Models

# Conditional Probability Modeling

- $\bullet$  Input space  ${\mathfrak X}$
- Outcome space  $\mathcal{Y}$
- Action space  $\mathcal{A} = \{ p(y) \mid p \text{ is a probability distribution on } \mathcal{Y} \}.$
- Hypothesis space  $\mathcal{F}$  contains prediction functions  $f: \mathfrak{X} \to \mathcal{A}$ .
- Prediction function  $f \in \mathcal{F}$  takes input  $x \in \mathcal{X}$  and produces a distribution on  $\mathcal{Y}$
- A parametric family of conditional densities is a set

 $\{p(y \mid x, \theta) : \theta \in \Theta\},\$ 

- where  $p(y | x, \theta)$  is a density on **outcome space**  $\mathcal{Y}$  for each x in **input space**  $\mathcal{X}$ , and
- $\theta$  is a parameter in a [finite dimensional] parameter space  $\Theta$ .
- This is the common starting point for either classical or Bayesian regression.

# Classical treatment: Likelihood Function

- **Data:**  $\mathcal{D} = (y_1, ..., y_n)$
- $\bullet\,$  The probability density for our data  ${\mathcal D}$  is

$$p(\mathcal{D} | x_1, \ldots, x_n, \theta) = \prod_{i=1}^n p(y_i | x_i, \theta).$$

• For fixed  $\mathcal{D}$ , the function  $\theta \mapsto p(\mathcal{D} \mid x, \theta)$  is the likelihood function:

$$L_{\mathcal{D}}(\theta) = p(\mathcal{D} \mid x, \theta),$$

where  $x = (x_1, ..., x_n)$ .

• The maximum likelihood estimator (MLE) for  $\theta$  in the family  $\{p(y | x, \theta) | \theta \in \Theta\}$  is

$$\hat{\theta}_{\mathsf{MLE}} = \operatorname*{arg\,max}_{\theta\in\Theta} L_{\mathcal{D}}(\theta).$$

• MLE corresponds to ERM, if we set the loss to be the negative log-likelihood.

ł

• The corresponding prediction function is

$$\hat{f}(x) = p(y \mid x, \hat{\theta}_{\mathsf{MLE}}).$$

# Bayesian Conditional Probability Models

- Input space  $\mathfrak{X} = \mathsf{R}^d$  Outcome space  $\mathfrak{Y} = \mathsf{R}$
- The Bayesian conditional model has two components:
  - A parametric family of conditional densities:

 $\{p(y \mid x, \theta) : \theta \in \Theta\}$ 

• A prior distribution  $p(\theta)$  on  $\theta \in \Theta$ .

#### The Posterior Distribution

- The prior distribution  $p(\theta)$  represents our beliefs about  $\theta$  before seeing  $\mathcal{D}$ .
- The posterior distribution for  $\boldsymbol{\theta}$  is

$$p(\theta \mid \mathcal{D}, x) \propto p(\mathcal{D} \mid \theta, x) p(\theta)$$
$$= \underbrace{\mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{D}}(\theta)}_{\text{likelihood prior}} \underbrace{p(\theta)}_{\text{prior}}$$

- $\bullet$  Posterior represents the rationally updated beliefs after seeing  $\mathcal{D}.$
- Each  $\boldsymbol{\theta}$  corresponds to a prediction function,
  - i.e. the conditional distribution function  $p(y | x, \theta)$ .

- What if we want point estimates of  $\theta$ ?
- We can use Bayesian decision theory to derive point estimates.
- We may want to use
  - $\hat{\theta} = \mathbb{E}[\theta \mid \mathcal{D}, x]$  (the posterior mean estimate)
  - $\hat{\theta} = \text{median}[\theta \mid \hat{\mathcal{D}}, x]$
  - $\hat{\theta} = \arg \max_{\theta \in \Theta} p(\theta \mid \mathcal{D}, x)$  (the MAP estimate)
- depending on our loss function.

Back to the basic question - Bayesian Prediction Function

- Find a function takes input  $x \in \mathcal{X}$  and produces a distribution on  $\mathcal{Y}$
- In the frequentist approach:
  - Choose family of conditional probability densities (hypothesis space).
  - Select one conditional probability from family, e.g. using MLE.
- In the Bayesian setting:
  - We choose a parametric family of conditional densities

 $\{p(y \mid x, \theta) : \theta \in \Theta\},\$ 

- and a prior distribution  $p(\theta)$  on this set.
- Having set our Bayesian model, how do we predict a distribution on y for input x?
- We don't need to make a discrete selection from the hypothesis space: we **maintain uncertainty**.

- Suppose we have not yet observed any data.
- In the Bayesian setting, we can still produce a prediction function.
- The prior predictive distribution is given by

$$x \mapsto p(y \mid x) = \int p(y \mid x; \theta) p(\theta) d\theta.$$

• This is an average of all conditional densities in our family, weighted by the prior.

- $\bullet\,$  Suppose we've already seen data  $\mathcal{D}.$
- The posterior predictive distribution is given by

$$x \mapsto p(y \mid x, \mathcal{D}) = \int p(y \mid x; \theta) p(\theta \mid \mathcal{D}) d\theta.$$

• This is an average of all conditional densities in our family, weighted by the posterior.

# Comparison to Frequentist Approach

- In Bayesian statistics we have two distributions on  $\Theta$ :
  - the prior distribution  $p(\theta)$
  - the posterior distribution  $p(\theta \mid D)$ .
- These distributions over parameters correspond to distributions on the hypothesis space:

 $\{p(y \mid x, \theta) : \theta \in \Theta\}.$ 

 $\bullet\,$  In the frequentist approach, we choose  $\hat{\theta}\in\Theta,$  and predict

 $p(y \mid x, \hat{\theta}(\mathcal{D})).$ 

• In the Bayesian approach, we integrate out over  $\Theta$  w.r.t.  $p(\theta \mid D)$  and predict with

$$p(y \mid x, \mathcal{D}) = \int p(y \mid x; \theta) p(\theta \mid \mathcal{D}) d\theta$$

# What if we don't want a full distribution on y?

- Once we have a predictive distribution p(y | x, D),
  - we can easily generate single point predictions.
- $x \mapsto \mathbb{E}[y \mid x, \mathcal{D}]$ , to minimize expected square error.
- $x \mapsto \text{median}[y \mid x, \mathcal{D}]$ , to minimize expected absolute error
- $x \mapsto \arg \max_{y \in \mathcal{Y}} p(y \mid x, \mathcal{D})$ , to minimize expected 0/1 loss
- Each of these can be derived from p(y | x, D).

# Gaussian Regression Example

# Example in 1-Dimension: Setup

- Input space  $\mathfrak{X} = [-1, 1]$  Output space  $\mathfrak{Y} = \mathsf{R}$
- Given x, the world generates y as

$$y = w_0 + w_1 x + \varepsilon$$
,

where  $\varepsilon \sim \mathcal{N}(0, 0.2^2)$ .

• Written another way, the conditional probability model is

$$y \mid x, w_0, w_1 \sim \mathcal{N}(w_0 + w_1 x, 0.2^2).$$

- What's the parameter space?  $R^2$ .
- Prior distribution:  $w = (w_0, w_1) \sim \mathcal{N}(0, \frac{1}{2}I)$

# Example in 1-Dimension: Prior Situation

• Prior distribution:  $w = (w_0, w_1) \sim \mathcal{N}\left(0, \frac{1}{2}I\right)$  (Illustrated on left)



• On right,  $y(x) = \mathbb{E}[y | x, w] = w_0 + w_1 x$ , for randomly chosen  $w \sim p(w) = \mathcal{N}(0, \frac{1}{2}I)$ .

Bishop's PRML Fig 3.7

# Example in 1-Dimension: 1 Observation



- On left: posterior distribution; white cross indicates true parameters
- On right:
  - blue circle indicates the training observation
  - red lines,  $y(x) = \mathbb{E}[y | x, w] = w_0 + w_1 x$ , for randomly chosen  $w \sim p(w | D)$  (posterior)

Bishop's PRML Fig 3.7

# Example in 1-Dimension: 2 and 20 Observations



Bishop's PRML Fig 3.7

# Gaussian Regression: Closed form

# Closed Form for Posterior

• Model:

$$w \sim \mathcal{N}(0, \Sigma_0)$$
  
  $y_i \mid x, w$  i.i.d.  $\mathcal{N}(w^T x_i, \sigma^2)$ 

- Design matrix X Response column vector y
- Posterior distribution is a Gaussian distribution:

$$w \mid \mathcal{D} \sim \mathcal{N}(\mu_{P}, \Sigma_{P})$$
  

$$\mu_{P} = (X^{T}X + \sigma^{2}\Sigma_{0}^{-1})^{-1}X^{T}y$$
  

$$\Sigma_{P} = (\sigma^{-2}X^{T}X + \Sigma_{0}^{-1})^{-1}$$

• Posterior Variance  $\Sigma_P$  gives us a natural uncertainty measure.

## Closed Form for Posterior

• Posterior distribution is a Gaussian distribution:

$$w \mid \mathcal{D} \sim \mathcal{N}(\mu_{P}, \Sigma_{P})$$
  

$$\mu_{P} = (X^{T}X + \sigma^{2}\Sigma_{0}^{-1})^{-1}X^{T}y$$
  

$$\Sigma_{P} = (\sigma^{-2}X^{T}X + \Sigma_{0}^{-1})^{-1}$$

• If we want point estimates of w, MAP estimator and the posterior mean are given by

$$\hat{w} = \mu_P = \left(X^T X + \sigma^2 \Sigma_0^{-1}\right)^{-1} X^T y$$

• For the prior variance  $\Sigma_0 = \frac{\sigma^2}{\lambda} I$ , we get

$$\hat{w} = \mu_P = \left(X^T X + \lambda I\right)^{-1} X^T y,$$

which is of course the ridge regression solution.

Connection the MAP to Ridge Regression

• The **Posterior density** on *w* for  $\Sigma_0 = \frac{\sigma^2}{\lambda}I$ :



• To find the MAP, we minimize the negative log posterior:

$$\hat{w}_{MAP} = \underset{w \in \mathbb{R}^{d}}{\operatorname{arg\,min}} \left[ -\log p(w \mid \mathcal{D}) \right]$$
$$= \underset{w \in \mathbb{R}^{d}}{\operatorname{arg\,min}} \underbrace{\sum_{i=1}^{n} (y_{i} - w^{T} x_{i})^{2}}_{\operatorname{log-likelihood}} + \underbrace{\lambda \|w\|^{2}}_{\operatorname{log-prior}}$$

• Which is the ridge regression objective.
- Given a new input point  $x_{new}$ , how do we predict  $y_{new}$ ?
- Predictive distribution

$$p(y_{\text{new}} | x_{\text{new}}, \mathcal{D}) = \int p(y_{\text{new}} | x_{\text{new}}, w, \mathcal{D}) p(w | \mathcal{D}) dw$$
$$= \int p(y_{\text{new}} | x_{\text{new}}, w) p(w | \mathcal{D}) dw$$

• For Gaussian regression, predictive distribution has closed form.

### Closed Form for Predictive Distribution

• Model:

$$w \sim \mathcal{N}(0, \Sigma_0)$$
  
  $y_i \mid x, w$  i.i.d.  $\mathcal{N}(w^T x_i, \sigma^2)$ 

• Predictive Distribution

$$p(y_{\text{new}} | x_{\text{new}}, \mathcal{D}) = \int p(y_{\text{new}} | x_{\text{new}}, w) p(w | \mathcal{D}) dw.$$

Averages over prediction for each *w*, weighted by posterior distribution.
Closed form:

$$\begin{array}{rcl} y_{new} \mid x_{new}, \mathcal{D} & \sim & \mathcal{N}\left(\eta_{new}, \sigma_{new}^2\right) \\ \eta_{new} & = & \mu_P^T x_{new} \\ \sigma_{new}^2 & = & \underbrace{x_{new}^T \Sigma_P x_{new}}_{\text{from variance in } w} + \underbrace{\sigma_{new}^2}_{\text{inherent variance in } y} \end{array}$$

### Bayesian Regression Provides Uncertainty Estimates

• With predictive distributions, we can give mean prediction with error bands:



Rasmussen and Williams' Gaussian Processes for Machine Learning, Fig.2.1(b)

# Multi-class Overview

### Motivation

• So far, most algorithms we've learned are designed for binary classification.

- Sentiment analysis (positive vs. negative)
- Spam filter (spam vs. non-spam)
- Many real-world problems have more than two classes.
  - Document classification (over 10 classes)
  - Object recognition (over 20k classes)
  - Face recognition (millions of classes)
- What are some potential issues when we have a large number of classes?
  - Computation cost
  - Class imbalance
  - Different cost of errors

- How to reduce multiclass classification to binary classification?
  - We can think of binary classifier or linear regression as a black box. Naive ways:
  - E.g. multiple binary classifiers produce a binary code for each class (000, 001, 010)
  - E.g. a linear regression produces a numerical value for each class (1.0, 2.0, 3.0)
- How do we generalize binary classification algorithm to the multiclass setting?
  - We also need to think about the loss function.
- Example of very large output space: structured prediction.
  - Multi-class: Mutually exclusive class structure.
  - Text: Temporal relational structure.

## Reduction to Binary Classification

# One-vs-All / One-vs-Rest

Setting

- Input space:  $\mathfrak X$ 
  - Output space:  $\mathcal{Y} = \{1, \dots, k\}$

#### Training

- Train k binary classifiers, one for each class: h<sub>1</sub>,..., h<sub>k</sub>: X → R.
  Classifier h<sub>i</sub> distinguishes class i (+1) from the rest (-1).
- Prediction
- Majority vote:

$$h(x) = \underset{i \in \{1, \dots, k\}}{\arg \max} h_i(x)$$

• Ties can be broken arbitrarily.

OvA: 3-class example (linear classifier)

Consider a dataset with three classes:



**Assumption**: each class is linearly separable from the rest. Ideal case: only target class has positive score.

Train OvA classifiers:



OvA: 4-class non linearly separable example

Consider a dataset with four classes:



Cannot separate red points from the rest. Which classes might have low accuracy?



All vs All / One vs One / All pairs

- Setting  $\bullet$  Input space:  $\mathcal{X}$ 
  - Output space:  $\mathcal{Y} = \{1, \dots, k\}$
- Training
- Train  $\binom{k}{2}$  binary classifiers, one for each pair:  $h_{ij}: \mathcal{X} \to \mathsf{R}$  for  $i \in [1, k]$  and  $j \in [i+1, k]$ .
  - Classifier  $h_{ij}$  distinguishes class i (+1) from class j (-1).
- Prediction Majority vote (each class gets k-1 votes)

$$h(x) = \underset{i \in \{1, \dots, k\}}{\arg \max} \sum_{j \neq i} \underbrace{ \underset{j \neq i}{\underbrace{h_{ij}(x) \mathbb{I}\{i < j\}}}}_{\text{class } i \text{ is } +1} - \underbrace{ \underset{j \neq i}{\underbrace{h_{ji}(x) \mathbb{I}\{j < i\}}}}_{\text{class } i \text{ is } -1}$$

#### Tournament

• Ties can be broken arbitrarily.

### AvA: four-class example

Consider a dataset with four classes:



**Assumption**: each pair of classes are linearly separable. More expressive than OvA.

What's the decision region for the red class?

•

### OvA vs AvA

		OvA	AvA
computation	train test	$O(kB_{ ext{train}}(n)) \ O(kB_{ ext{test}})$	$O(k^2 B_{ ext{train}}(n/k)) \ O(k^2 B_{ ext{test}})$
challenges	train	class imbalance	small training set
	test	calibration / scale tie breaking	

Lack theoretical justification but simple to implement and works well in practice (when # classes is small).

Reduction-based approaches:

- Reducing multiclass classification to binary classification: OvA, AvA
- Key is to design "natural" binary classification problems without large computation cost. But,
  - Unclear how to generalize to extremely large # of classes.
  - ImageNet: >20k labels; Wikipedia: >1M categories.

Next, generalize previous algorithms to multiclass settings.

# Multiclass Loss



### Binary Logistic Regression

• Given an input x, we would like to output a classification between (0,1).

$$f(x) = sigmoid(z) = \frac{1}{1 + \exp(-z)} = \frac{1}{1 + \exp(-w^{\top}x - b)}.$$
 (1)

• The other class is represented in 1 - f(x):

$$1 - f(x) = \frac{\exp(-w^{\top}x - b)}{1 + \exp(-w^{\top}x - b)} = \frac{1}{1 + \exp(w^{\top}x + b)} = sigmoid(-z).$$
 (2)

• Another way to view: one class has (+w, +b) and the other class has (-w, -b).

• Now what if we have one  $w_c$  for each class c?

$$f_c(x) = \frac{\exp(w_c^\top x) + b_c}{\sum_c \exp(w_c^\top x + b_c)}$$

- Also called "softmax" in neural networks.
- Loss function:  $L = \sum_{i} -y_c^{(i)} \log f_c(x^{(i)})$
- Gradient:  $\frac{\partial L}{\partial z} = f y$ . Recall: MSE loss.

(3)

#### Comparison to OvA

- Base Hypothesis Space:  $\mathcal{H} = \{h : \mathcal{X} \to \mathsf{R}\}$  (score functions).
- Multiclass Hypothesis Space (for k classes):

$$\mathcal{F} = \left\{ x \mapsto \operatorname*{arg\,max}_{i} h_{i}(x) \mid h_{1}, \dots, h_{k} \in \mathcal{H} \right\}$$

- Intuitively,  $h_i(x)$  scores how likely x is to be from class i.
- OvA objective:  $h_i(x) > 0$  for x with label i and  $h_i(x) < 0$  for x with all other labels.
- At test time, to predict (x, i) correctly we only need

$$h_i(x) > h_j(x)$$
  $\forall j \neq i.$  (4)

### Multiclass Perceptron

- Base linear predictors:  $h_i(x) = w_i^T x \ (w \in \mathbb{R}^d)$ .
- Multiclass perceptron:

```
Given a multiclass dataset \mathcal{D} = \{(x, y)\};
Initialize w \leftarrow 0:
for iter = 1, 2, ..., T do
    for (x, y) \in \mathcal{D} do
        \hat{y} = \arg \max_{v' \in \mathcal{Y}} w_{v'}^{T} x;
        if \hat{v} \neq v then // We've made a mistake
              w_v \leftarrow w_v + x; // Move the target-class scorer towards x
             w_{\hat{v}} \leftarrow w_{\hat{v}} - x; // Move the wrong-class scorer away from x
         end
    end
end
```

### Rewrite the scoring function

- Remember that we want to scale to very large # of classes and reuse algorithms and analysis for binary classification
  - $\implies$  a single weight vector is desired
- How to rewrite the equation such that we have one w instead of k?

$$w_i^T x = w^T \psi(x, i)$$

$$h_i(x) = h(x, i)$$
(5)

- Encode labels in the feature space.
- Score for each label  $\rightarrow$  score for the "*compatibility*" of a label and an input.

### The Multivector Construction

How to construct the feature map  $\psi$ ?

• What if we stack  $w_i$ 's together (e.g.,  $x \in \mathsf{R}^2, \mathcal{Y} = \{1, 2, 3\}$ )

$$w = \left(\underbrace{-\frac{\sqrt{2}}{2}, \frac{\sqrt{2}}{2}}_{w_1}, \underbrace{0, 1}_{w_2}, \underbrace{\frac{\sqrt{2}}{2}, \frac{\sqrt{2}}{2}}_{w_3}\right)$$

 $\bullet$  And then do the following:  $\Psi \colon \mathsf{R}^2 \times \{1,2,3\} \to \mathsf{R}^6$  defined by

$$\begin{array}{rcl} \Psi(x,1) &:= & (x_1,x_2,0,0,0,0) \\ \Psi(x,2) &:= & (0,0,x_1,x_2,0,0) \\ \Psi(x,3) &:= & (0,0,0,0,x_1,x_2) \end{array}$$

• Then  $\langle w, \Psi(x, y) \rangle = \langle w_y, x \rangle$ , which is what we want.

### Rewrite multiclass perceptron

Multiclass perceptron using the multivector construction. Given a multiclass dataset  $\mathcal{D} = \{(x, y)\}$ ; Initialize  $w \leftarrow 0$ : for  $iter = 1, 2, \ldots, T$  do for  $(x, y) \in \mathcal{D}$  do  $\hat{y} = \arg \max_{v' \in \mathcal{Y}} w^T \psi(x, y')$ ; // Equivalent to  $\arg \max_{v' \in \mathcal{Y}} w_{v'}^T x$ if  $\hat{v} \neq v$  then // We've made a mistake  $\begin{array}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|} & w \leftarrow w + \psi(x,y) ; // \text{ Move the scorer towards } \psi(x,y) \\ & w \leftarrow w - \psi(x,\hat{y}) ; // \text{ Move the scorer away from } \psi(x,\hat{y}) \end{array}$ end end

end

Exercise: What is the base binary classification problem in multiclass perceptron?

#### Features

Toy multiclass example: Part-of-speech classification

- $\mathfrak{X} = \{ All \text{ possible words} \}$
- $\mathcal{Y} = \{NOUN, VERB, ADJECTIVE, \dots\}.$
- Features of  $x \in \mathfrak{X}$ : [The word itself], ENDS\_IN\_ly, ENDS\_IN\_ness, ...

How to construct the feature vector?

- Multivector construction:  $w \in \mathbb{R}^{d \times k}$ —doesn't scale.
- Directly design features for each class.

$$\Psi(x,y) = (\psi_1(x,y),\psi_2(x,y),\psi_3(x,y),\ldots,\psi_d(x,y))$$

• Size can be bounded by *d*.

(7)

#### Features

Feature:

Sample training data:

The boy grabbed the apple and ran away quickly .

$$\begin{split} \psi_1(x,y) &= \mathbb{1}[x = \mathsf{apple} \; \mathsf{AND} \; y = \mathsf{NOUN}] \\ \psi_2(x,y) &= \mathbb{1}[x = \mathsf{run} \; \mathsf{AND} \; y = \mathsf{NOUN}] \\ \psi_3(x,y) &= \mathbb{1}[x = \mathsf{run} \; \mathsf{AND} \; y = \mathsf{VERB}] \\ \psi_4(x,y) &= \mathbb{1}[x \; \mathsf{ENDS\_IN\_ly} \; \mathsf{AND} \; y = \mathsf{ADVERB}] \end{split}$$

• E.g.,  $\Psi(x = \text{run}, y = \text{NOUN}) = (0, 1, 0, 0, ...)$ 

. . .

- After training, what's w<sub>1</sub>, w<sub>2</sub>, w<sub>3</sub>, w<sub>4</sub>?
- No need to include features unseen in training data.

### Feature templates: implementation

- Flexible, e.g., neighboring words, suffix/prefix.
- "Read off" features from the training data.
- Often sparse—efficient in practice, e.g., NLP problems.
- Can use a hash function: template  $\rightarrow \{1, 2, \dots, d\}$ .

### Review

Ingredients in multiclass classification:

- Scoring functions for each class (similar to ranking).
- Represent labels in the input space  $\implies$  single weight vector.

We've seen

- How to generalize the perceptron algorithm to multiclass setting.
- Very simple idea. Was popular in NLP for structured prediction (e.g., tagging, parsing). Next,
  - How to generalize SVM to the multiclass setting.
  - Concept check: Why might one prefer SVM / perceptron?

### Margin for Multiclass

Binary • Margin for  $(x^{(n)}, y^{(n)})$ :

$$y^{(n)}w^T x^{(n)} \tag{8}$$

Want margin to be large and positive (w<sup>T</sup>x<sup>(n)</sup> has same sign as y<sup>(n)</sup>)
 Multiclass
 Class-specific margin for (x<sup>(n)</sup>, y<sup>(n)</sup>):

$$h(x^{(n)}, y^{(n)}) - h(x^{(n)}, y).$$
 (9)

Difference between scores of the correct class and each other class
Want margin to be large and positive for all y ≠ y<sup>(n)</sup>.

#### Multiclass SVM: separable case

Binary Recall binary formulation.

$$\min_{w} \quad \frac{1}{2} \|w\|^{2}$$
s.t. 
$$\underbrace{y^{(n)} w^{T} x^{(n)}}_{\text{margin}} \ge 1 \quad \forall (x^{(n)}, y^{(n)}) \in \mathcal{D}$$
(11)

Multiclass As in the binary case, take 1 as our target margin.

$$m_{n,y}(w) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \underbrace{\left\langle w, \Psi(x^{(n)}, y^{(n)}) \right\rangle}_{\text{score of correct class}} - \underbrace{\left\langle w, \Psi(x^{(n)}, y) \right\rangle}_{\text{score of other class}}$$
(12)  
$$\min_{w} \quad \frac{1}{2} ||w||^{2}$$
(13)  
$$\text{s.t.} \quad m_{n,y}(w) \ge 1 \quad \forall (x^{(n)}, y^{(n)}) \in \mathcal{D}, y \neq y^{(n)}$$
(14)

### Recap: hingle loss for binary classification

• Hinge loss: a convex upperbound on the 0-1 loss

$$\ell_{\text{hinge}}(y, \hat{y}) = \max(0, 1 - yh(x)) \tag{15}$$



### Generalized hinge loss

• What's the zero-one loss for multiclass classification?

$$\Delta(y, y') = \mathbb{I}\left\{y \neq y'\right\}$$
(16)

- In general, can also have different cost for each class.
- Upper bound on  $\Delta(y, y')$ .

$$\hat{y} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \arg\max_{y' \in \mathcal{Y}} \langle w, \Psi(x, y') \rangle \tag{17}$$

$$\implies \langle w, \Psi(x, y) \rangle \leqslant \langle w, \Psi(x, \hat{y}) \rangle \tag{18}$$

$$\implies \Delta(y, \hat{y}) \leqslant \Delta(y, \hat{y}) - \langle w, (\Psi(x, y) - \Psi(x, \hat{y})) \rangle \qquad \text{When are they equal?} \tag{19}$$

• Generalized hinge loss:

$$\ell_{\mathsf{hinge}}(y, x, w) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \max_{y' \in \mathcal{Y}} \left( \Delta(y, y') - \left\langle w, \left( \Psi(x, y) - \Psi(x, y') \right) \right\rangle \right)$$
(20)

### Multiclass SVM with Hinge Loss

• Recall the hinge loss formulation for binary SVM (without the bias term):

$$\min_{w \in \mathbb{R}^d} \frac{1}{2} ||w||^2 + C \sum_{n=1}^N \max\left(0, 1 - \underbrace{y^{(n)} w^T x^{(n)}}_{\text{margin}}\right).$$

• The multiclass objective:

$$\min_{w \in \mathsf{R}^{d}} \frac{1}{2} ||w||^{2} + C \sum_{n=1}^{N} \max_{y' \in \mathcal{Y}} \left( \Delta(y, y') - \underbrace{\left\langle w, \left(\Psi(x, y) - \Psi(x, y')\right)\right\rangle}_{\mathsf{margin}} \right)$$

- $\Delta(y, y')$  as target margin for each class.
- If margin  $m_{n,y'}(w)$  meets or exceeds its target  $\Delta(y^{(n)}, y') \ \forall y \in \mathcal{Y}$ , then no loss on example n.

# Introduction to Structured Prediction



# Example: Part-of-speech (POS) Tagging

• Given a sentence, give a part of speech tag for each word:



- $\mathcal{V} = \{ all \ English \ words \} \cup \{ [START], "." \} \}$
- $\mathfrak{X} = \mathcal{V}^n$ , n = 1, 2, 3, ... [Word sequences of any length]
- $\mathcal{P} = \{ \mathsf{START}, \mathsf{Pronoun}, \mathsf{Verb}, \mathsf{Noun}, \mathsf{Adjective} \}$
- $\mathcal{Y} = \mathcal{P}^n$ ,  $n = 1, 2, 3, \dots$  [Part of speech sequence of any length]

# Example: Action grounding from long-form videos

- Given a long video, segment the video into short windows where each window corresponds to an action from a list of actions.
- E.g. slicing, chopping, frying, washing, etc.
- $\mathcal{V} = \mathbb{R}^D$  image features
- $\mathcal{X} = \mathcal{V}^n$ ,  $n = 1, 2, 3, \dots$  [video frame length]
- $\mathcal{P} = \{ Slicing, Chopping, Frying, ... \}$
- $\mathcal{Y} = \mathcal{P}^n$ ,  $n = 1, 2, 3, \dots$  [Part of speech sequence of any length]
- Can also be represented with start and end timestamps.

## Multiclass Hypothesis Space

- Discrete output space:  $\mathcal{Y}(x)$ 
  - Very large but has structure, e.g., linear chain (sequence labeling), tree (parsing)
  - Size depends on input *x*
- Base Hypothesis Space:  $\mathcal{H} = \{h : \mathcal{X} \times \mathcal{Y} \to \mathsf{R}\}$ 
  - h(x, y) gives compatibility score between input x and output y
- Multiclass hypothesis space

$$\mathcal{F} = \left\{ x \mapsto \operatorname*{arg\,max}_{y \in \mathcal{Y}} h(x, y) \mid h \in \mathcal{H} \right\}$$

- Final prediction function is an  $f \in \mathfrak{F}$ .
- For each  $f \in \mathcal{F}$  there is an underlying compatibility score function  $h \in \mathcal{H}$ .

### Structured Prediction

• Part-of-speech tagging

x: he eats applesy: pronoun verb noun

• Multiclass hypothesis space:

$$h(x, y) = w^{T} \Psi(x, y)$$
  
$$\mathcal{F} = \left\{ x \mapsto \underset{y \in \mathcal{Y}}{\operatorname{arg\,max}} h(x, y) \mid h \in \mathcal{H} \right\}$$

- A special case of multiclass classification
- How to design the feature map  $\Psi$ ? What are the considerations?

(21)

(22)
- A unary feature only depends on
  - the label at a single position,  $y_i$ , and x

• Example:

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi_1(x, y_i) &= \mathbb{1}[x_i = \mathsf{runs}]\mathbb{1}[y_i = \mathsf{Verb}] \\ \varphi_2(x, y_i) &= \mathbb{1}[x_i = \mathsf{runs}]\mathbb{1}[y_i = \mathsf{Noun}] \\ \varphi_3(x, y_i) &= \mathbb{1}[x_{i-1} = \mathsf{He}]\mathbb{1}[x_i = \mathsf{runs}]\mathbb{1}[y_i = \mathsf{Verb}] \end{aligned}$$

#### Markov features

- A markov feature only depends on
  - two adjacent labels,  $y_{i-1}$  and  $y_i$ , and x
- Example:

$$\begin{aligned} \theta_1(x, y_{i-1}, y_i) &= \mathbb{1}[y_{i-1} = \mathsf{Pronoun}] \mathbb{1}[y_i = \mathsf{Verb}] \\ \theta_2(x, y_{i-1}, y_i) &= \mathbb{1}[y_{i-1} = \mathsf{Pronoun}] \mathbb{1}[y_i = \mathsf{Noun}] \end{aligned}$$

- Reminiscent of Markov models in the output space
- Possible to have higher-order features

#### Local Feature Vector and Compatibility Score

• At each position *i* in sequence, define the **local feature vector** (unary and markov):

$$\begin{aligned} \Psi_i(x, y_{i-1}, y_i) &= (\phi_1(x, y_i), \phi_2(x, y_i), \dots, \\ \theta_1(x, y_{i-1}, y_i), \theta_2(x, y_{i-1}, y_i), \dots) \end{aligned}$$

- And local compatibility score at position *i*:  $\langle w, \Psi_i(x, y_{i-1}, y_i) \rangle$ .
- The compatibility score for (x, y) is the sum of local compatibility scores:

$$\sum_{i} \langle w, \Psi_{i}(x, y_{i-1}, y_{i}) \rangle = \left\langle w, \sum_{i} \Psi_{i}(x, y_{i-1}, y_{i}) \right\rangle = \langle w, \Psi(x, y) \rangle, \quad (23)$$

where we define the sequence feature vector by

$$\Psi(x, y) = \sum_{i} \Psi_i(x, y_{i-1}, y_i).$$
 decomposable

## Structured perceptron

```
Given a dataset \mathcal{D} = \{(x, y)\};
Initialize w \leftarrow 0:
for iter = 1, 2, \dots, T do
      for (x, y) \in \mathcal{D} do
            \hat{y} = \arg \max_{y' \in \mathcal{Y}(x)} w^T \psi(x, y');
            if \hat{v} \neq v then // We've made a mistake
             \begin{array}{c|c} w \leftarrow w + \Psi(x, y) ; // \text{ Move the scorer towards } \psi(x, y) \\ w \leftarrow w - \Psi(x, \hat{y}) ; // \text{ Move the scorer away from } \psi(x, \hat{y}) \end{array} 
             end
       end
```

end

Identical to the multiclass perceptron algorithm except the arg max is now over the structured output space  $\mathcal{Y}(x)$ .

#### Structured hinge loss

• Recall the generalized hinge loss

$$\ell_{\mathsf{hinge}}(y,\hat{y}) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \max_{y' \in \mathcal{Y}(x)} \left( \Delta(y,y') + \left\langle w, \left( \Psi(x,y') - \Psi(x,y) \right) \right\rangle \right)$$
(24)

- What is  $\Delta(y, y')$  for two sequences?
- Hamming loss is common:

$$\Delta(\mathbf{y},\mathbf{y}') = \frac{1}{L} \sum_{i=1}^{L} \mathbb{1}[\mathbf{y}_i \neq \mathbf{y}_i']$$

where L is the sequence length.

Exercise:

- Write down the objective of structured SVM using the structured hinge loss.
- Stochastic sub-gradient descent for structured SVM (similar to HW3 P3)
- Compare with the structured perceptron algorithm

### The argmax problem for sequences

Problem To compute predictions, we need to find  $\arg \max_{y \in \mathcal{Y}(x)} \langle w, \Psi(x, y) \rangle$ , and  $|\mathcal{Y}(x)|$  is exponentially large.

Observation  $\Psi(x, y)$  decomposes to  $\sum_{i} \Psi_i(x, y)$ .

Solution Dynamic programming (similar to the Viterbi algorithm)



What's the running time?

Figure by Daumé III. A course in machine learning. Figure 17.1.

• Recall that we can write logistic regression in a general form:

$$p(y|x) = \frac{1}{Z(x)} \exp(w^{\top} \psi(x, y)).$$

- Z is normalization constant:  $Z(x) = \sum_{y \in Y} \exp(w^{\top} \psi(x, y)).$
- Example: linear chain  $\{y_t\}$
- We can incorporate unary and Markov features:  $p(y|x) = \frac{1}{Z(x)} \exp(\sum_t w^\top \psi(x, y_t, y_{t-1}))$



- Compared to Structured SVM, CRF has a probabilistic interpretation.
- We can draw samples in the output space.
- How do we learn w? Maximum log likelihood, and regularization term:  $\lambda \|w\|^2$
- Loss function:

$$I(w) = -\frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^{N} \log p(y^{(i)}|x^{(i)}) + \frac{1}{2}\lambda ||w||^2$$
  
=  $-\frac{1}{N} \sum_{i} \sum_{t} \sum_{k} w_k \psi_k(y_t^{(i)}, y_{t-1}^{(i)}) + \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i} \log Z(x^{(i)}) + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{k} \lambda w_k^2$ 

• Loss function:

$$I(w) = -\frac{1}{N} \sum_{i} \sum_{t} \sum_{k} w_{k} \psi_{k}(x^{(i)}, y^{(i)}_{t}, y^{(i)}_{t-1}) + \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i} \log Z(x^{(i)}) + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{k} \lambda w_{k}^{2}$$

• Gradient:

$$\frac{\partial l(w)}{\partial w_{k}} = -\frac{1}{N} \sum_{i} \sum_{t} \sum_{k} \psi_{k}(x^{(i)}, y^{(i)}_{t}, y^{(i)}_{t-1}) + \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i} \frac{\partial}{\partial w_{k}} \log \sum_{y' \in Y} \exp(\sum_{t} \sum_{k'} w_{k'} \psi_{k'}(x^{(i)}, y'_{t}, y'_{t-1})) + \sum_{k} \lambda w_{k}$$
(25)

- What is  $\frac{1}{N} \sum_{i} \sum_{t} \sum_{k} \psi_{k}(x^{(i)}, y^{(i)}_{t}, y^{(i)}_{t-1})$ ?
- It is the expectation  $\psi_k(x^{(i)}, y_t, y_{t-1})$  under the empirical distribution  $\tilde{p}(x, y) = \frac{1}{N} \sum_i \mathbb{1}[x = x^{(i)}] \mathbb{1}[y = y^{(i)}].$

• It is the expectation of  $\psi_k(x^{(i)}, y'_t, y'_{t-1})$  under the model distribution  $p(y'_t, y'_{t-1}|x)$ .

- To compute the gradient, we need to infer expectation under the model distribution p(y|x).
- Compare the learning algorithms: in structured SVM we need to compute the argmax, whereas in CRF we need to compute the model expectation.
- Both problems are NP-hard for general graphs.

### **CRF** Inference

- In the linear chain structure, we can use the forward-backward algorithm for inference, similar to Viterbi.
- Initiate  $\alpha_j(1) = \exp(w^\top \psi(y_1 = j, x_1))$
- Recursion:  $\alpha_j(t) = \sum_i \alpha_i(t-1) \exp(w^\top \psi(y_t = j, y_{t-1} = i, x_t))$
- Result:  $Z(x) = \sum_j \alpha_j(T)$
- Similar for the backward direction.
- Test time, again use Viterbi algorithm to infer argmax.
- The inference algorithm can be generalized to belief propagation (BP) in a tree structure (exact inference).
- In general graphs, we rely on approximate inference (e.g. loopy belief propagation).

- POS tag Relationship between constituents, e.g. NP is likely to be followed by a VP.
- Semantic segmentation

Relationship between pixels, e.g. a grass pixel is likely to be next to another grass pixel, and a sky pixel is likely to be above a grass pixel.

• Multi-label learning

An image may contain multiple class labels, e.g. a bus is likely to co-occur with a car.

#### Conclusion

Multiclass algorithms

- Reduce to binary classification, e.g., OvA, AvA
  - Good enough for simple multiclass problems
  - They don't scale and have simplified assumptions
- Generalize binary classification algorithms using multiclass loss
  - Multi-class perceptron, multi-class logistics regression, multi-class SVM
- Structured prediction: Structured SVM, CRF. Data containing structure. Extremely large output space. Text and image applications.